

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/629,241	07/31/2000	Maury E. Collett II	CLT-100	3877
23557 7	590 12/11/2003		EXAMINER	
SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK			MORRISON, NASCHICA SANDERS	
A PROFESSIC 2421 N.W. 418	ONAL ASSOCIATION ST STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE A-1			3632	
GAINESVILL	E, FL 326066669		D. TE MAIL ED 12/11/200	

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\langle \cdot \rangle$			
	Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/629,241	COLLETT, MAURY E.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Naschica S Morrison	3632	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addres	is
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2003 FAILS T Therefore, further action by the applicant is requifinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be e condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1	red to avoid abandonment of this a hither: (1) a timely filed amendmen of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	application. A proper reply to the which places the application	o a n in
PERIOD	FOR REPLY [check either a) or b	]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the m	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing da no event, however, will the statutory period for rep ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST RE 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.13	oly expire later than SIX MONTHS from the PLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH	e mailing date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Se	e MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ne period of extension and the correspond on date of the shortened statutory period fo by the Office later than three months after	ng amount of the fee. The appropr or reply originally set in the final Offi	riate extension ice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be er	ntered because:		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would requ	ire further consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (se	e Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	lication in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simple	lifying the
(d) M they present additional claims withou	t canceling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	ng rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	_ would be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed am	nendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) recapplication in condition for allowance became		considered but does NOT p	place the

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

LESLIE A. BRAUN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

Claim(s) allowed: \_

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-16 and 18-31</u>.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The method steps of element c, in amended claim 28, in combination with the limitation "closeably securing th wiring within the wire receiving area" requires further consideration as well as new claim 32 which appears to be similar to but broader than claim 14.